arXiv:cond-mat/9810249v2 9 Feb 1999

Topological Twistons in Crystalline Polyethylene[1]

D. Bazeia[2] and E. Ventura[3]

Center for Theoretical Physics
Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139-4307

(MIT-CTP-2790)

Abstract

We introduce an alternate model to describe twistons in crystalline polyethylene. The model couples torsional and longitudinal degrees of freedom and appears as an extension of a model that describes only the torsional motion. We find exact solutions that describe stable topological twistons, in good agreement with the torsional and longitudinal interactions in polyethylene.

---

[1] This work is partially supported by the U. S. Department of Energy (D.O.E.) under cooperative research agreement DE-FC02-94ER40818, and by the brazilian agencies CAPES and CNPq.

[2] On leave from Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Caixa Postal 5008, 58051-970, João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil

[3] On leave from Departamento de Química Fundamental, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, 50670-901, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil

The existence of twistons in polyethylene (PE) crystals was postulated [1] two decades ago, and refers to a twist of 180^0 that extends smoothly over several CH_2 groups in crystalline PE, in the plane orthogonal to the chain direction, with the corresponding CH_2 unit length contraction along the polymeric chain. These twiston configurations appear in cristalline PE as a result of its large torsional flexibility, a general feature of unsatured polymers which has been shown to be of widespread interest [2, 3, 4]. In crystalline PE the presence of topological twistons may contribute to elucidate some of its properties, in particular the dieletric α relaxation [5].

There are some interesting models of twistons in crystalline PE [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. The works [6, 7] are almost simultaneous to the work [11], which introduces solitons to describe conductivity in polyacetylene (PA) via distortions of the single-double bond alternations. We recall that PA engenders a theoretical formulation, which can follow both the condensed matter and the field theoretic formulations [12]. Within the field theoretic formulation PA seems to engenders the most interesting realization of the phenomenon of fermion number fractionalization – see for instance Ref. [13]. The PA story shows that the relevant degrees of freedom can be described by a single real scalar field, coupled to fermionic field. The scalar field describes longitudinal motion in the PA chain and contains a ϕ^4 potential to describe the double degeneracy due to the single-douple bonds alternations in the polymeric chain. In this case the fermionic field in introduced to describe electronic delocalization in the polymeric chain. In the PE chain the situation is different since there is only single bounds in the chain and so no electronic delocalization is present anymore. This leads to descriptions envolving no fermionic degrees of freedom, but now coupling bosonic degrees of freedom to describe the torsional flexibility of this unsatured polymer according to the model under consideration [6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

In the present work we consider the crystalline PE chain as a planar zig-zag chain with the molecular group CH_2 in such a way that the distance between two consecutive groups of CH_2 is $2r_0$ ($r_0 = 0.42\,\text{Å}$) in the direction orthogonal to the chain and c ($c = 1.27\,\text{Å}$) in the direction along the chain. We obtain degenerate ground states by performing a 180^o rotation in the left or right sense of the (torsional) direction orthogonal to the chain length, or by performing a tranlation by c in the positive or negative sense of the (longitudinal) direction along the chain. We shall offer an alternate model that couples torsional and longitudinal degrees of freedom in a way such that the model now supports exact twiston-like solutions, stable and in good agreement with properties of crystalline PE.

The pioneer works [6, 7] have considered approximations for the interactions that ultimately result in models describing the twiston degree of freedom uncoupled from the longitudinal motion of the CH_2 molecular group – see also [8]. In the more recent models [9, 10] one includes interactions between radial, torsional and longitudinal degrees of freedom. In this case one uses cilindrical coordinates to describe a generic CH_2 unit via (r_n, θ_n, z_n), which corresponds to the three degrees of freedom of the rigid molecular group. A simplification can be introduced, and concerns freezing the r_n's, so that the radial motion is neglected. In [9] one further ignores the translational degree of freedom, the z_n coordinates, to get to a simple model described via the torsional variable that in the continuum limit can be taken as $\theta_n(t) \to \theta(z,t)$. The model reproduces the double sine-Gordon model, according to the assuptions there considered to describe the intermolecular interaction.

The other more recent work [10] on twiston in crystalline PE gives another step toward a more realistic model. In this case the three coordinates (r_n, θ_n, z_n) are taken into account to describe twistons in PE crystals. This is the first time the radial, torsional and longitudinal degrees of freedom are simultaneously considered to model twiston in crystalline PE. The model is interesting, although it is hard to find exact solutions and investigate the corresponding issues of stability. The problem engenders several intrinsec difficulties, which have inspired us to search for an alternate model, in the form of two coupled fields belonging to the class of systems investigated in the recent works [14, 15, 16, 17].

The basic assumptions that appear in former models for twistons in crystalline PE may be introduced by considering (r_n, θ_n, z_n) to describe degrees of freedom related to a generic CH_2 molecular group in the polymeric chain. The Lagrangian presents the usual form

$$\begin{aligned} L &= T - U \qquad (1)\\ T &= \frac{1}{2} m \sum_n (\dot{r}_n^2 + r_n^2\, \dot{\theta}_n^2 + \dot{z}_n^2) \qquad (2)\\ U &= U_{\text{intra}} + U_{\text{inter}} \qquad (3) \end{aligned}$$

where m is the mass associated to the molecular group CH_2, and U_{intra} and U_{inter} are potentials, the first related to the intramolecular interactions, and the second used to model the intermolecular forces in the crystalline environment. The intramolecular potential can be considered as

$$U_{\text{intra}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n K_1\, (\theta_{n+1} - \theta_n)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n K_2\, (z_{n+1} - z_n)^2 + \cdots \qquad (4)$$

where K_1 and K_2 are coeficients related to the harmonic approximation for torsional and longitudinal motions, respectively. The intramolecular potential may contain derivative coupling between the torsional and longitudinal motions [9], or between the radial and longitudinal motions [10]. We shall not consider such possibilities in the present work, although in [17] one shows a route for taking derivative coupling into account. The second potential responds for the intermolecular interactions and is usually given in the form

$$U_{\text{inter}} = \sum_n [U_0(\theta_n) + U_1(\theta_n)\, U_l(z_n)] \qquad (5)$$

where $U_0(\theta_n)$ and $U_1(\theta_n)$ are used to model torsion and $U_l(z_n)$ to describe the longitudinal motion along the chain. In the works [6, 7, 8, 9], after freezing radial and translational motion, the above intermolecular potential is described by the $U_0(\theta_n)$ contributions. Here one gets to a system described by the torsional motion alone, and in the continuum limit there are some models, described via the sine-Gordon potential [6, 7, 8]

$$U_0(\theta) = A_1\,[1 - \cos(2\,\theta)] \tag{6}$$

or the polynomial potential [7]

$$U_0(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2}\,A_2\,\theta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\,B_1\,\theta^4 \tag{7}$$

or yet the double sine-Gordon potential [9]

$$U_0(\theta) = A_3\,[1 - \cos(2\,\theta)] + B_2\,[1 - \cos(4\,\theta)] \tag{8}$$

The A_i and B_i are real constants, used to parametrize the corresponding interactions.

The more recent work [10] considers coupling between the radial, torsional and longitudinal degrees of freedom. There the intermolecular potential was written as

$$U_{inter} = U_0(\theta) + B_1 \sin(\theta - 51^o)\cos\left(\pi\frac{u}{c}\right) \tag{9}$$

where $u(z,t)$ is the continuum version of $u_n(t) = z_n(t) - nc$. Here $U_0(\theta)$ is given in (8) and we have neglected a constant, very small phase. This model is interesting, although the analytical solutions obtained in [10] are found under assumptions that ultimately decouple the system.

The difficulties inherent to this problem bring motivations for introducing other assumptions, with the aim of offering an alternate model that presents exact solutions for twistons in crystalline PE. Toward this goal, let us use cilindrical coordinates to describe the molecular groups under the assumption of rigidity. We start with the kinetic energy (2), rewriting it in the form

$$T = \frac{1}{2}\,m\,r_0^2 \sum_n \left(\dot{\phi}_n^2 + \left(\frac{c}{r_0}\right)^2\,\dot{\chi}_n^2 + \dot{\rho}_n^2\right) \tag{10}$$

Here we have set $\phi_n = \theta_n - [1 - (-1)^n](\pi/2)$, $\chi_n = (z_n - nc)/c$ and $\rho_n = (r_n - r_0)/r_0$, where r_0 is the equilibrium position of the radial coordinate and c in the longitudinal distance between consecutive molecular groups. Now ϕ_n, χ_n and ρ_n are all dimensionless variables, and in the continuum limit they can be seen as real fields $\phi(z,t)$, $\chi(z,t)$ and $\rho(z,t)$. Before going to the continuum version of the PE chain, however, let us reconsider the intramolecular potential given by Eq. (4). We use the harmonic approximation to write

$$U_{\rm intra} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_n k_t\,(\phi_{n+1} - \phi_n)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_n k_l\,(\chi_{n+1} - \chi_n)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_n k_r\,(\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n)^2 \tag{11}$$

where k_t, k_l and k_r are spring-like constants, related to the torsional, longitudinal and radial degrees of freedom, respectively.

The harmonic interactions present in the intramolecular term (11) makes the dynamics to appear as the dynamics of relativistic fields, in the same way it happens with the standard harmonic chain. For this reason the continuum version of our general model can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial t}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial z}\right)^2 + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial z}\right)^2 - V(\phi,\chi,\rho) \qquad (12)\end{aligned}$$

This is a model describing three real scalar fields and we are working in the bidimensional spacetime, using natural units ($\hbar = c = 1$) and standard relativistic notation.

The general model presents the same coeficient in all the derivative terms and the potential is used to specify the particular model one is interested in. This is a general feature of field theoretic systems and specific models may support topological solitons when the potential presents discrete symmetry and at least two degenerate minima [18, 19]. We remark that system like the above one has been recently considered [20] as an effort to understand issues of strings ending on walls, in this case bringing the concept of complete wetting from condensed matter to field theory. In the present work, however, the potential is supposed to have the form

$$V(\phi,\chi,\rho) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial\phi}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial\chi}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial\rho}\right)^2 \qquad (13)$$

where $H = H(\phi,\chi,\rho)$ is a smooth but otherwise arbitrary function of the fields. This restriction is introduced along the lines of former investigations [14, 15, 16], and leads to interesting properties. For instance, the equations of motion for static configurations $\phi = \phi(z)$, $\chi = \chi(z)$ and $\rho = \rho(z)$ present the form

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dz^2} = H_\phi H_{\phi\phi} + H_\chi H_{\chi\phi} + H_\rho H_{\rho\phi} \qquad (14)$$

$$\frac{d^2\chi}{dz^2} = H_\phi H_{\phi\chi} + H_\chi H_{\chi\chi} + H_\rho H_{\rho\chi} \qquad (15)$$

$$\frac{d^2\rho}{dz^2} = H_\phi H_{\phi\rho} + H_\chi H_{\chi\rho} + H_\rho H_{\rho\rho} \qquad (16)$$

where H_ϕ stands for $\partial H/\partial\phi$, and so on. These equations are solved by the following first-order differential equations [14, 16]

$$\frac{d\phi}{dz} = H_\phi \qquad (17)$$

$$\frac{d\chi}{dz} = H_\chi \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{d\rho}{dz} = H_\rho \tag{19}$$

Solutions that solve these first-order equations are classically or linearly stable [15, 16].

In the present work, however, our main interest is to describe twistons in crystalline PE. For this reason we now focus attention on this specific polymeric chain. As one knows, in this case it is a very good approximation [6, 7, 8, 9, 10] to descard radial motion in the PE chain. This simplification leads to a system of two fields, describing torsional and longitudinal motions simultaneously. However, we give up the route introduced in former models and introduce a new way, obtained after getting inspirations from field theoretic analysis [12, 18, 19] and from a former model of two coupled fields, used to model topological solitons in ferroelectric crystals [16]. For simplicity, however, we first think of a system describing the torsional motion *alone*. In this case we get the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}\right)^2 - V_1(\phi) \tag{20}$$

We now seek for a system that is in good approximation to realistic torsional interactions in PE. According to Refs. [9, 10], investigations on molecular simulation allows introducing the following torsional potential

$$V_1(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\phi^2(\phi^2 - \pi^2)^2 \tag{21}$$

which is generated via the function

$$H_1(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\,\lambda\,\phi^2\,(\frac{1}{2}\phi^2 - \pi^2) \tag{22}$$

This potential presents three minima, one at $\phi = 0$ and the other two at $\phi^2 = \pi^2$, corresponding to a 180^o rotation in the left or right sense of the torsional direction, in agreement with the degenerate ground states of crystalline PE.

In this case the equation of motion for static configuration is

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dz^2} = \lambda^2\phi(\phi^2 - \pi^2)(3\phi^2 - \pi^2) \tag{23}$$

It is solved by solutions of the first-order equation

$$\frac{d\phi}{dz} = \lambda\phi(\phi^2 - \pi^2) \tag{24}$$

There are topological twistons, given by [14]

$$\phi^{(\pm)}_{(t)}(z) = \pm\,\pi\,\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}[1 - \tanh(\lambda\pi^2 z)]} \tag{25}$$

Here we are taking $z = 0$ as the center of the soliton, but this is unimportant because the continuum, infinity chain presents translational invariance. The sign of λ identifies kink and antikink solutions, connecting the minima 0 and π or 0 and $-\pi$. These solutions are stable and can be boosted to their time-dependent form by just changing z to $\xi = (z - vt)/(1 - v^2)^{1/2}$. This model can be seem as an alternate model to the ones previously introduced to describe the torsional motion alone [6, 7, 8, 9].

To get to a more realistic model we couple the torsional field to the longitudinal motion along the chain. We model the presence of interactions by extending the former function $H_1(\phi)$ to $H_2(\phi, \chi)$ given by

$$H_2(\phi, \chi) = \frac{1}{2}\,\lambda\,\phi^2\,(\frac{1}{2}\phi^2 - \pi^2) + \frac{1}{2}\mu\phi^2\chi^2 \tag{26}$$

In this case we get the potential

$$V_2(\phi, \chi) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\phi^2(\phi^2 - \pi^2)^2 + \lambda\mu\phi^2(\phi^2 - \pi^2)\chi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2\phi^2\chi^4 + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2\phi^4\chi^2 \tag{27}$$

Among the several features of this potential we remark that

$$V_2(\phi, 0) = V_1(\phi) \tag{28}$$

which reproduces the torsional model $V_1(\phi)$ when one freezes the longitudinal motion. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} V_2(0, \chi) &= 0 && (29)\\ V_2(\pm\pi, \chi) &= \frac{1}{2}\mu^2\pi^4\chi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2\pi^2\chi^4 && (30) \end{aligned}$$

We can evaluate the quantity $\partial^2 V/\partial\phi\partial\chi$ to see that it contributes with vanishing values at the minima $(0, 0)$ and $(\pm\pi, 0)$. This shows that the spectra of excitations of the torsional motion around the ground states are unaffected by the presence of the longitudinal motion. Thus, we can use $V_1(\phi)$ to investigate the behavior of the torsional motion around the equilibrium configurations. Here we get the results that the ϕ field presents mass values $m_\phi(0, 0) = |\lambda|\pi^2$ and $m_\phi(\pm\pi, 0) = 2|\lambda|\pi^2$, around the minima $\phi = 0$ and $\phi^2 = \pi^2$ respectively. Accordingly, for the χ field we see that it is massless at $\phi = 0$, and at $\phi^2 = \pi^2$ its mass becomes $m_\chi(\pm\pi, 0) = |\mu|\pi^2$. These results identify an asymmetry in the spectra of excitations of both the torsional and longitudinal motion around the minima $(0, 0)$ and $(\pi^2, 0)$. This asymmetry appears in consequence of the polynomial potential (27), and is small for small parameters λ and μ. On the other hand, these results allow introducing the ratio m_χ/m_ϕ between the masses of the χ and ϕ fields. At the minima $(\pm\pi, 0)$ we get $m_\chi/m_\phi = |\mu|/2|\lambda|$ and at the minimum $(0, 0)$ it vanishes, because of the flatness of the potential in the χ direction at that point. Our model indicates that this ratio belong to the interval $[0, |\mu|/2|\lambda|\,]$. We can very naturally identify this ratio

m_χ/m_ϕ with the ratio between the longitudinal (b_l) and torsional (b_t) energy barriers in the polymeric chain. Thus, if we use the value $|\mu|/2|\lambda|$, which is the only specific value our model provides for that quantity, we can write

$$\Big|\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\Big| = 2\,\frac{b_l}{b_t} \tag{31}$$

Since the torsional and longitudinal barriers in the crystalline PE chain are known, we can use them to evaluate the ratio between the two in principle arbitrary parameters λ and μ that appear in our model. We use values presented in Fig. [3] of Ref. [1] to see that the ratio b_t/b_l for crystalline PE is in the interval $[2.0, 3.0]$. This indicates that in our model the ratio between λ and μ is governed by $|\lambda|/|\mu| \in [1.0, 1.5]$.

For the above model the equations of motion for static fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\phi}{dz^2} &= \lambda^2\phi(\phi^2-\pi^2)(3\phi^2-\pi^2) + 2\lambda\mu\phi(2\phi^2-\pi^2)\chi^2 + \mu^2\phi(\chi^2+1)\chi^2 \quad (32)\\ \frac{d^2\chi}{dz^2} &= 2\lambda\mu\phi^2(\phi^2-\pi^2)\chi + 2\mu^2\phi^2\chi^3 + \mu^2\phi^2\chi \quad (33) \end{aligned}$$

Although there is no general way of solving these equations, we recognize that they follow from the potential in Eq. (27), defined via the function introduced in Eq. (26), and so they are solved by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\phi}{dz} &= \lambda\phi(\phi^2-\pi^2) + \mu\phi\chi^2 \quad (34)\\ \frac{d\chi}{dz} &= \mu\phi^2\chi \quad (35) \end{aligned}$$

which are first-order differential equations, easier to investigate. Here we can find exact analytical solutions, in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{(\pm)}_{(t,l)}(z) &= \pm\pi\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}[1-\tanh(\mu\pi^2 z)]} \quad (36)\\ \chi^{(\pm)}_{(t,l)}(z) &= \pm\pi\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\mu}-1}\,\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}[1+\tanh(\mu\pi^2 z)]} \quad (37) \end{aligned}$$

They are valid for $\lambda/\mu > 1$ and are similar to the solutions found in Ref. [10] to describe the torsional and longitudinal degrees of freedom that describe topological twistons in the crystalline chain.

The solutions found in this work are stable and engender other interesting features. For instance, for the model of a single field the width of the solutions is inversely proportional to $|\lambda|$. For the model with two fields the solutions (36) and (37) present the same width, inversely proportional to $|\mu|$. This can be understood intuitively since we expect that when the torsional motion completes the 180^o rotation and returns to crystal register, the longitudinal motion should simultaneously return to its crystalographic position. On the other hand, this is in agreement with the topological features of the solutions and with the trial orbit [14]

$$\phi^2 + \frac{1}{\frac{\lambda}{\mu} - 1}\,\chi^2 = \pi^2 \tag{38}$$

that was used to solve the coupled equations (34) and (35). Furthermore, the amplitude of the torsional field is π, as given by the solution (36), in agreement with the model we are using for the twiston configuration. The amplitude of the longitudinal motion can be obtained from the solution (37). It is given by

$$\pi\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\mu} - 1} \tag{39}$$

In the PE chain we have to set this amplitude to unity, to make it compatible with the fact that when the chain twists by 180^0 and turns to its crystalline register the longitudinal motion shifts by c. This picture follows in accordance with the fact that crystalline PE presents degenerate ground states, obtained from each other by a rotation of 180^0 or by a translation of c along the polymer chain. We set the amplitude (39) to unity to get

$$\frac{\lambda}{\mu} = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \tag{40}$$

This result predicts the numerical value $\lambda/\mu = 1.1$, which is in good agreement with the reasoning that follows from the ratio between the torsional and longitudinal barriers, just after Eq. (31).

The results presented in this work add to the former investigation [16] to show that the approach of using systems of coupled fields to describe topologically non-trivial excitations in continuum versions of polymeric chains appears to work correctly. The procedure shows that some features of the relativistic model can be directly mapped to specific properties of the actual polymeric chain, although work on issues concerning fine-tunning the relativistic approach is still to be done. Investigations concerning this and other related issues are presently under consideration.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Roman Jackiw for comments and for reading the manuscript. We also thank Cláudio Furtado for drawing our attention to Ref. [10] and Alfredo Simas for interest, and for discussions on other polymeric chains. We are grateful to Robert Jaffe and the Center for Theoretical Physics, MIT, for hospitality.

References

[1] M.L. Mansfield and R.H. Boyd, J. Polym. Sci. Phys. Ed. **16**, 1227 (1978).

[2] R.H. Boyd, Polymer **26**, 323, 1123 (1985).

[3] G. Zerbi and M.D. Zoppo, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. **88**, 1835 (1992).

[4] M.D. Zoppo and G. Zerbi, Polymer **33**, 4667 (1992).

[5] G. Williams, Chem. Rev. **72**, 55 (1972).

[6] M.L. Mansfield, Chem. Phys. Lett. **69**, 383 (1980).

[7] J.L. Skinner and P.G. Wolynes, J. Chem. Phys. **73**, 4015, 4022 (1980).

[8] J.L Skinner and Y.H. Park, Macromolecules **17**, 1735 (1984).

[9] F. Zhang and M.A. Collins, Chem. Phys. Lett. **214**, 459 (1993).

[10] F. Zhang and M.A. Collins, Phys. Rev. E **49**, 5804 (1994).

[11] W.P. Su, J.R. Schrieffer and A.J. Heeger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 1698 (1979).

[12] R. Jackiw and J.R. Schrieffer, Nucl. Phys. B **190 [SF3]**, 253 (1981).

[13] R. Jackiw, *Diverse Topics in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics,* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995) pp. 79 and 449.

[14] D. Bazeia, M.J. dos Santos and R.F. Ribeiro, Phys. Lett. A **208**, 84 (1995).

[15] D. Bazeia and M.M. Santos, Phys. Lett. A **217**, 28 (1996).

[16] D. Bazeia, R.F. Ribeiro, and M.M. Santos, Phys. Rev. E **54**, 2943 (1996).

[17] D. Bazeia, J.R.S. Nascimento, and D. Toledo, Phys. Lett. A **228**, 357 (1997).

[18] R. Jackiw, Rev. Mod. Phys. **49**, 681 (1977).

[19] R. Rajaraman, *Solitons and Instantons* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982).

[20] A. Campos, K. Holland and U.-J. Wiese, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 2420 (1998).